

Stupid America

Stupid America

Stupid America

“The refusal to take the time to understand things in any number of dimensions greater than one is the chief source of the national stupidity.” — Menand, Louis, “Made in the USA”, *The New York Review of Books*, June 26, 1997, p. 8.

“Mit der Dummheit kämpfen Götter selbst vergebens” (“Against stupidity the gods themselves struggle in vain.”) — Schiller, Friedrich von, quoted in *The Oxford Dictionary of Quotations*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, London, 1966, p. 415.

Introduction

When I first began writing this essay, I thought I would have to work hard to make my case. But now, as example after example of the national stupidity confronts us in the daily newspaper and TV news reports, the case is being made for me.

The most shocking example is, of course, the catastrophic election of Donald Trump to the presidency on Nov. 8, 2016. His opponent, Hillary Clinton, won a majority of the popular vote (59,795,904 to 59,589,137 to), but the Electoral College, that lurking menace from the past, chose her opponent instead, just as it had chosen George W. Bush over Al Gore, who had won a majority of the popular vote in 2000. The danger of selecting an ignorant, unintelligent party hack (a Republican) for the highest office in the land soon became evident, when, on a bogus justification, Bush plunged the nation into wars in the Middle East that, at the time of this writing, some 13 years later, are still raging. Trump (another Republican), who is not only ignorant and unintelligent, but is intolerant, has no political experience, is a congenital liar, an enemy of minorities, and a sexual abuser, gives us far more to fear.

This development means that the growing number of people who believe that the nation is on a permanent descent into second- or third- or even lower class status are probably right.

Our Ignorance About Science

I begin with this subject because I think it is the most important, fully realizing that a large proportion of the U.S. population, not to mention of the academy, believes otherwise.

According to a 2001 Gallup poll about 45% of Americans believe “God created human beings pretty much in their present form at one time within the last 10,000 years or so.” Another 37% believe that “Human beings have developed over millions of years from less advanced forms of life, but God guided this process.” Only 14% believe that “human beings have developed over millions of years from less advanced forms of life, but God had no part in this process.” — *Wikipedia*, Feb. 17, 2008

“One out of five Americans...remain convinced that the sun revolves around the earth.” — Weissmann, Gerald, *Galileo's Gout: Science In An Age of Endarkenment*, Bellevue Literary Press, N.Y., 2007, p. 18

“49 percent of U. S. adults do not know how long it takes for the Earth to revolve around the Sun.” — Friedman, Thomas L., and Mandelbaum, Michael, *That Used To Be Us*, Farrar, Straus, and Giroux, N.Y., 2011, p. 232.

“More than two thirds of Americans, according to surveys conducted for the National Science Foundation over the past two decades, are unable to identify DNA as the key to heredity. Nine out of ten Americans do not understand radiation and what it can do to the body.” — Jacoby, Susan, *The Age of American Unreason*, Pantheon Books, N.Y., 2008, p. xvii.

“American adults in general do not understand what molecules are (other than that they are really small).” — Jon D. Miller, political scientist who directs the Center for Biomedical Communications at the Northwestern University Medical School in Chicago

“Only 27 percent of college graduates believe that living beings have always existed in their present form — although that in itself is an astonishingly high figure — but 42 percent of Americans with only a partial college education and half of high school graduates adhere to the creationist viewpoint that organic life has remained unchanged through the ages.” — *ibid.*, p. 23.

According to a Harris Poll of 2009-2010:

- 82% of Americans believe in God;
- 76% believe in miracles;
- 75% believe in Heaven;
- 73% believe that Jesus is God or the Son of God;
- 72% believe in angels;
- 71% believe the soul survives after death;
- 70% believe in the Resurrection of Jesus;
- 61% believe in the virgin birth of Jesus;
- 61% believe in Hell;
- 60% believe in the Devil

Other polls have revealed that:

- 35% believe the Bible is literally true;
- 50% believe God created man.

Stupidity of Millions of Americans About Global Warming

Despite the overwhelming evidence, there are millions of Americans who believe that global warming does not exist.

“The truth of it is that the global warming hoax has turned out to be just that ... one of the biggest hoaxes of the century. The temperatures are *not* going up. They are, in fact, going down. The polar ices are *not* melting ... they are moving ... the Antartica [sic] ices are larger & the artic [sic] ices are smaller. All junk history to prove the so called warming have [sic] now been proven to be lies and falsified data. People are running for cover to protect there [sic] butts. CO₂ is not a problem. The earth is pretty much stronger than we insignificant people and well able to heal itself.”

The writer of these words is white, middle-class, and worked for several years as a bank vice-president. She is staunchly Republican. There are millions of Americans who share similar views, which is probably inevitable, since a common defense mechanism of those who lack the intelligence to deal with complex, potentially-overwhelming problems, is to deny they exist.

“The proportion [of Americans] who believe there is ‘solid evidence’ that the earth is warming fell from 71% in 2008 to 57% [in 2009]. Among Republicans, disbelief is the norm: only 35% think there is solid evidence of warming, according to a Pew poll.”¹

Stupidity of the Republican Party

John Stuart Mill, the great 19th century English philosopher, called the equivalent, in his time, of the Republican Party, “the Party of Stupid”.

The three worst presidents in American history were Republicans: Richard Nixon, George W. Bush, and Donald Trump.

In 2000, against the will of the American people, the Supreme Court put an imbecile into the highest office in the land. Among the public utterances of this man were the following:

“The vast majority of our imports come from outside the country.”

“If we don’t succeed, we run the risk of failure.”

“Republicans understand the importance of bondage between a mother and child.”

“No senior citizen should ever have to choose between prescription drugs and medicine.”

“I believe we are on an irreversible trend toward more freedom and democracy — but that could change.”

“One word sums up probably the responsibility of any Governor, and that one word is ‘to be prepared’.”

“Verbosity leads to unclear, inarticulate things.”

“I have made good judgments in the past. I have made good judgments in the future.”

“The future will be better tomorrow.”

“We’re going to have the best educated American people in the world.”

“One of the great things about books is sometimes there are some fantastic pictures.” [during an education photo-op]

“Illegitimacy is something we should talk about in terms of not having it.”

“We are ready for any unforeseen event that may or may not occur.”

1. Lexington: “A refreshing dose of honesty”, in *The Economist*, Feb. 6th-12th, 2010, p. 38.

“It isn’t pollution that’s harming the environment. It’s the impurities in our air and water that are doing it.”

“I stand by all the misstatements that I’ve made.”

In 2004 the people had a chance to correct the Court’s arrogance, but they didn’t, and gave George W. Bush four more years of what turned out to be the worst administration in the nation’s history. In September, 2008, polls indicated that it was entirely possible that a majority of American voters would choose for President a Republican who would continue many of the most destructive of Bush’s policies.

In 2008, John McCain, the Republican Presidential candidate, a politician who had spent five years in a Vietnamese prison (which is not a qualification for being President), who had a reputation for being a maverick when it was safe to do so and who had a record of somehow never showing up whenever the Senate voted on important environmental bills — this aging hack chose for his running mate an Alaskan red-neck woman who didn’t believe in global warming, who was a lifetime member of the NRA, who had worked tirelessly to allow indiscriminate hunting of wildlife in Alaska, who was for drilling for oil in the National Wildlife Refuge, who had been involved in several scandals while she was governor of Alaska, and whose command of English is exemplified by the following:

“My concern has been the atrocities there in Darfur and the relevance to me with that issue as we spoke about Africa and some of the countries there that were kind of the people succumbing to the dictators and the corruption of some collapsed governments on the continent, the relevance was Alaska’s investment in Darfur with some of our permanent fund dollars.¹

And, she concluded, “never, ever did I talk about, well, gee, is it a country or a continent, I just don’t know about this issue.”

In an interview with cable TV host Bill O’Reilly, she said:

“And I think more of a concern has been not within the campaign the mistakes that were made, not being able to react to the circumstances that those mistakes created in a real positive and professional and helpful way for John McCain.”²

If McCain had become President, this all-American know-nothing would have been a heart-beat away from leadership of what was still the most powerful country in the world (though it was fading fast).

Yet she remained very much in the public eye, and the publication of her book, *Going Rogue*, in November, 2009, was greeted with long lines at book stores. It is fair to say that millions of Americans felt that this ignorant, stupid woman should be our next President. For a few intelligent

1. Quoted in a *New York Times* column, 11/14/08, by Dick Cavett from a collection of Palin quotes collected by Maureen Dowd

2. Quoted by Maureen Dowd in *The New York Times*, Sunday, Nov. 22, 2009, p. WK 11.

observers, that fact alone is a sure sign that we are at the beginning of the end of American power and influence in the world.

The question I put to every voter who believes that Palin should hold national public office is this: Would you allow yourself or a loved one to be operated on by a surgeon who knew as little about the human body as Sarah Palin knows about this nation and the world?

The Republican candidates for President in 2011-12 exemplified the kind of ignorant fool that a large segment of the American voting public was willing to trust with the highest office in the land, and one of the most important offices in the world. Michelle Backmann thought that the Founding Fathers “worked tirelessly” to abolish slavery. (They didn’t.) Rick Perry believed that the Revolutionary War was fought in the “sixteenth century” (in other words, in the 1500s; in fact the Revolution was fought in the eighteenth century, starting in 1776).

And then there was the almost unbelievable idiocy of the remark of Todd Akin of Missouri, Republican candidate for Senate.

“Speaking to Charles Jaco on the Jaco Report on St. Louis’s Fox station [in August, 2012], Akin was answering a question about allowing abortions in the case of rape. He said, “If it’s a legitimate rape, the female body has ways to try to shut that whole thing down.” — *Politico* web site, Aug. 31, 2012.

It is only a matter of time before we arrive at the next rape categorization, namely, Intentional, vs. Unintentional, Rape. The former, of course, should be prosecuted, but the Republicans will make sure that it is the responsibility of the woman to prove that her rape was not, after all, simply unintentional.

If it is true that “how a politician campaigns tells you a lot about how he or she would govern”¹, then Obama proved himself far ahead of both Hillary Clinton and John McCain in the smooth, competent way he ran his campaign, and far ahead of McCain in his judgement of people, he having chosen the competent, experienced, intelligent Sen. Joe Biden as his running mate.

Stupidity of the American Voter

In the midst of the worst financial crisis since the Great Depression, the nation’s voters wisely elected Obama to be their next President. Nevertheless, 57 million people — 46% of those voting — voted for McCain. In other words, that many Americans had not learned anything from the disastrous eight years of the Bush administration.

Five percent of the nation’s population owns more than half of all the nation’s wealth. Twenty percent owns more than 80 percent of all wealth. *Yet the number of registered voters is greater than 20 percent of the population, so the American people have it within their power to defy the political wishes of the wealthy few. Yet less than 50% of registered voters even bother to vote in presidential elections; the majority of non-voters are in the lower class, and are apparently too stupid to realize that if they voted, they would have a chance to put politicians favorable to their*

1. Krugman, Pual, “Blizzard of Lies”, *The New York Times*, Sept. 12, 2008, p. A23

views, in office.

Just consider what would happen if the vast majority of registered voters with Internet connections, wrote to their Congressmen and said that they would not vote for their Congressmen unless the Bush tax cuts were eliminated, and that the Congressmen should tell their lobbyists not to bother spending billions on TV ads to urge voters to change their minds, because the ads will be ignored.

Yet each election, millions of Americans, virtually all of them financially hard-pressed, are eager to vote for their oppressors — for the candidates who will implement the policies of the wealthy few that will only increase their financial hardships.

America can survive almost anything except the stupidity of the American voter.

In the 2008 Presidential election campaign, Barak Obama was often accused, by the Republicans, of being “elitist”, which was particularly satisfying to the pious nitwits who didn’t even have the brains or knowledge of history to know that the Founding Fathers were nothing if not elitist.

“Surveys conducted by the National Constitution Center show that while Americans hold the Constitution in high esteem, they know relatively little about the nation’s founding document. Asked whether they could recall any of the rights guaranteed by the First Amendment, a majority could name only freedom of speech. After that, only four in ten could name freedom of religion and one in three freedom of the press. More than a third were unable to list any First Amendment rights; 42 percent think that the Constitution explicitly states that “the first language of the United States is English”; and 25 percent believe that Christianity was established by the Constitution as the official government religion. The young are even more ignorant than their parents and grandparents. About half of adults — but just 41 percent of teenagers — can name the three branches of government. Only four in ten adults — but just two in ten teenagers — know that there are one hundred U. S. Senators. The vast majority of adults and teens have no idea of when or by whom the Constitution was written. Among the teenagers, nearly 98 percent cannot name the Chief Justice of the United States.” — *ibid.*, pp. 299-300.

“More than half of adults do not even know that there are nine Supreme Court justices..” — *ibid.*, 299

Polls released in June, 2010, reported that 25% of American adults are not sure which country the then-colonies were rebelling against when they fought the Revolutionary War. For persons aged 18 to 29, the figure is an astounding 40%.

“Ilya Somin, a George Mason University Law School professor, writes that ignorance of even the most basic political facts is so widespread that ‘voters not only cannot choose between specific competing policy programs, but also cannot accurately assign credit and blame for highly visible policy outcomes to the right office-holders.’ Studies support this view... — Harrop, Froma, “Ignorance and the American Voter”, www.realclearpolitics.com

“Somin writes that ‘voter ignorance poses a serious danger to American democracy,’ and

there is ‘overwhelming evidence that the American electorate fails to meet even minimal criteria for adequate voter knowledge.’ He concludes that ‘close to one-third of Americans can be categorized as “know-nothings” almost completely ignorant of relevant political information.’” — www.law.gmu.edu/currnews/voter-knowledge.html

On 9/11, 2001, “walking home to her Upper East Side apartment, ... overwhelmed and confused, [Susan Jacoby] stopped at a bar. As she sipped her bloody mary, she quietly listened to two men, neatly dressed in suits. For a second she thought they were going to compare that day’s horrifying attack to the Japanese bombing in 1941 that blew America into World War II:

“‘This is just like Pearl Harbor,’ one of the men said.

The other asked, ‘What is Pearl Harbor?’

‘That was when the Vietnamese dropped bombs in a harbor and it started the Vietnam War.,’ the first man replied.”

“At that moment, Ms. Jacoby said, ‘I decided to write this book [*The Age of American Unreason*].” — *The New York Times*, Feb. 14, 2008, p. B9

“[Susan Jacoby, author of *The Age of American Unreason*] pointed to a 2006 National Geographic poll that found nearly half of 18- to 24-year-olds don’t think it is necessary or important to know where countries in the news are located. So more than three years into the Iraq war, only 23 percent of those with some college could locate Iraq, Iran, Saudi Arabia and Israel on a map.” — *ibid.*

Profoundly worrying as the above facts are about the American electorate, what has convinced me that the country will never recover from the Recession/Depression that began in 2008 is the enormous popularity of Right-wing radio commentator Rush Limbaugh. His weekly audience in early 2009 was more than 15 million — many of them, I am sure, victims of the incompetence of the Bush administration and of policies which Limbaugh strongly supports. In other words, we have a significant portion of the American electorate too dumb even to know why they are unemployed and without a future, and all-too willing to get their political advice about extraordinarily complex problems from a loudmouth, know-nothing redneck.

However, it might not be that lower class voters vote for their oppressors, it might instead be that they don’t vote at all. This is the summary of findings by Alec MacGillis¹. The reaction of thinking persons can only be, “You idiots deserve all the misery you get!”

Members of the Tea Party movement of 2009-10 demand reductions in government spending. But they have yet to publish a list of the reductions they believe could be made, along with arguments (supported, say, by Government Accounting Office data) that each of the reductions is realistic. If they advocate cutting Social Security and Medicaid, the next question that each member needs to answer is if any member of his or her family is currently receiving this aid, and, if so, if the family member has made it clear he or she wants the aid cut. Members decry the money spent on the 2009 stimulus package, loudly claiming that the way to recover from a severe recession is through reduced government spending. They thus announce to all those with even half a brain

1. See “Who Turned My Blue State Red?” in the Sunday Review section of *The New York Times*, Nov. 22, 2015.

that (1) they have never read even the most superficial history of the Great Depression, and, in particular, read what happened when FDR took the advice of the fiscal hawks and curtailed the government spending that was slowly lifting the country out of its woes; and (2) they haven't the vaguest idea of the ideas, much less the arguments behind them, of the greatest 20th century economist, namely, J. M. Keynes.

Members also somehow never get around to explaining why it is that the two periods during which the national debt sky-rocketed were the administrations of Reagan, Bush I, and George W. Bush, both staunch right-wing proponents of reduced government spending. (The debt *quadrupled* under Reagan and Bush I, and increased by more than \$2 trillion under Bush II.)

This rabble of all-American know-nothings also insists that Pres. Obama is “a Marxist”. The fact that none of these patriots has ever read so much as a competent summary of Marx's ideas — one written, say, for the average (i.e., none-too-bright) high school student — doesn't bother them a bit.

There is nothing particularly alarming about a billionaire who happens to be an ignorant, lying, buffoon. But there is something very alarming about the fact that such a person was regarded, in 2016, by millions of Americans, as the best choice for president of the United States.

“The best argument against democracy is a five-minute conversation with the average voter.”
— Winston Churchill

But I am in no way proposing that the Republican Party be done away with! America's dumb-shits have a right to a political party of their own, just like everyone else. On the other hand, it really is time for bumper stickers like:

Republicans Out of the U.S.!
(Let Them Move to Texas or Louisiana or Alaska!)

It is becoming increasingly clear that the history of the 21st century will be summed up in a single phrase: the *Battle of the Dumb-shits*: Muslim fanatics vs. Republicans, because the driving force underlying both groups is the shame and panic that comes from realizing that they simply do not have the brains to succeed in the modern world.

Our Stupidity About How to Help Africa

A sure-fire way for an American to get a feel-good fix is to join the prevailing chorus of voices demanding more aid to Africa. The trouble is the unquestioning assumption that whatever saves lives is good, because, clearly, what Africa needs is a larger population of poverty-stricken, uneducated, uncivilized, and in many cases savage inhabitants. Never once is a voice raised demanding the start of a real solution to Africa's problems, which is the limiting of population to no more than what each local region and local prevailing survival skills can support. “*No help*

without a change in behavior” would be a good slogan, though one that no international agency and certainly no mob in need of a feel-good fix, will ever use. But it gets to the heart of the matter. If tomorrow a cure for AIDS were discovered that eliminated AIDS from the African continent — in fact, if tomorrow a way were found to rid Africa of all diseases — is there a thinking person who seriously imagines that the poverty and civil war and corrupt governments would start to disappear?

Our Financial Irresponsibility

“Undermining our natural strengths are a viral combination of a record national debt now approaching \$10 trillion; a federal budget deficit projected this year [2008] at \$492 billion, but likely closer to \$700 billion; a staggering foreign trade deficit; and exhausted savings accounts. The average American household is now buried under mortgage debt of \$84,911, car and tuition loans of \$14,414, home equity loans of \$10,062 and credit card debt of \$8,565 — in sum, outstanding debt totaling \$117,952. According to other Federal Reserve statistics, average household savings this year are a mere \$392.” — *AARP Bulletin*, Sept. 2008, p. 3.

I quote from the chapter of this book, “Politics and Economics”:

“It must be said again and again, although I fully realize that this is way beyond the dim comprehension of the average American: credit card companies each month grant you up to a one-month loan interest-free, within some stated maximum amount. Furthermore, they provide a certain protection in that you can refuse to pay for fraudulent charges. *But that’s all!* The companies are *not* obligated to allow you to live beyond your means. If you insist on doing so, they are *not* obligated to charge interest rates that you can afford. If you don’t like their interest rates, then pay your debt and start living within your means.”

The fact that the credit card companies are a pack of heartless scoundrels does not alter the validity of this.

Similarly, it may be that the sub-prime mortgage crisis was the result of the practices of unscrupulous mortgage lenders, but these lenders did not *force* their victims to buy mortgages they couldn’t afford. At least 50% of the blame rests with the ignorant graduates of (and dropouts from) the public schools who couldn’t be bothered with reading up on what is involved in responsibly buying a mortgage (that would have taken away from sports time on TV, and would have been elitist and intellectual). When a large proportion of the population is just plain stupid, we all pay a price.

In passing, I should also mention that spam would disappear *in a matter of weeks* if every computer user observed one simple rule: never, ever open a spam email. Period.

Our Stupidity About Recycling and Alternative Energy

If you are inclined to believe that Americans are at least able to think and act intelligently when it comes to recycling, go to your supermarket and find out what percentage of toilet paper is made from recycled paper. You will be lucky to find just one brand. In most supermarkets, you will find none. And yet this has to be one of the easiest ways to save numerous trees each year. I

can assure the reader from experience that there is no noticeable difference between toilet paper made from recycled paper, and toilet paper made from newly cut-down trees.

“Americans like their toilet tissue soft: exotic confections that are silken, thick and hot-air-fluffed...

“But fluffiness comes at a price: millions of trees harvested in North America and in Latin American countries, including some percentage of trees from rare old-growth forests in Canada. Although toilet tissue can be made at similar cost from recycled material, it is the fiber taken from standing trees that help give it that plush feel, and most large manufacturers rely on them.

Customers ‘demand soft and comfortable,’ said James Malone, a spokesman for Georgia Pacific, the maker of Quilted Northern. ‘Recycled fiber cannot do it.’” — Kaufman, Leslie, “What Mr. Whipple Didn’t Say: Fluffy Paper is Costly to Forests”, *The New York Times*, Feb. 26, 2009, p. 1.

Next, consider the national attitude on alternative energy sources. As soon as the price of gasoline dropped in October, 2008, the interest in developing alternative energy sources started to fade. It is hard to imagine a people so stupid that they cannot think beyond the price of gas at the pump. “There, Margaret, I told you so. There’s no energy crisis. We have plenty of oil. No need to develop those other resources.” And when the next rise in gas prices occurs, it will be, “Damn, Margaret, we’re running out of oil. Guess we’ll have to start thinking about alternative energy resources.”

“...I could only cringe when reading this article from CNNMoney.com on Dec. 22 [2008]: ‘After nearly a year of flagging sales, low gas prices and fat incentives are reigniting America’s taste for big vehicles. Trucks and S.U.V.’s will outsell cars in December...something that hasn’t happened since February. Meanwhile, the forecast finds that sales of hybrid vehicles are expected to be way down.’” — Friedman, Tom, “Win, Win, Win, Win, Win ...”, column in *The New York Times*, “Week in Review”, Sunday, Dec. 28, 2008, p. 8.

“The Wall Street Journal had an article last week reporting that, now that gas prices have gone back down, almost half a million fuel-frugal small cars are piling up unsold at dealers around the country.” — Dowd, Maureen, “No More Hummer Nation”, column in *The New York Times*, Wednesday, April 1, 2009, p. A31.

Our Stupidity About Lowering Gas Consumption

In mid-2008, the price of oil was at \$145 a barrel and the price of gas was above \$4 a gallon. In Feb., 2009, as a result of the economic downturn, the price of oil was around \$35 a barrel and the price of gas was around \$2 a gallon — a drop of 75% in oil price and 50% in gas price. And yet during this period, gas *consumption* in the U.S. had dropped only around 6%!

During the peak of gas prices, occasionally a news program would feature an expert who would explain that gas consumption can be reduced by driving at or below the speed limit, by driving as slowly as safety allows in cities and residential areas, by coasting to a stop at red lights and stop signs, and by avoiding sharp accelerations — a style of driving that might be called Slow-and-Gradual¹.

You would think that the nation's drivers would have taken to heart such a simple way to reduce their gas costs. But the sad truth is that, with gas above \$4 a gallon, if you drove at the speed limit on the nation's highways, you were passed by almost every other car on the road. In cities, if you coasted to a red light or a stop sign, you were often greeted by the raised fist of the all-American dumb-shit in the car behind you. And, needless to say, he or she then accelerated rapidly when the light changed.

Studies have indicated that as much as a *35% reduction in gas consumption* could be realized by Slow-and-Gradual driving¹. Assume, conservatively, that "only" a 20% reduction were possible nationwide. Consider what such a reduction would do to the price of oil and, as a result, to the amount of money going to terrorists in some of the oil-producing countries. Furthermore, this reduction could be accomplished without the auto companies having to spend a penny on improving gas mileage or on developing new types of vehicles.

But even with such facts as I have cited above staring the American motorist in the face, he and she have been too dumb to make the slightest change in driving habits, other than the idiot-obvious one of not driving when there was no money at all for gas due to unemployment.

In April, 2011, lawmakers in Texas, probably the dumbest state in the Union, voted to increase the speed limit to 85 mph, this at a time when gas was above \$4 a gallon, and when unemployment made it all the more urgent that people save money on gas.

Our Stupidity About Guns

"...more than 12,000 people are murdered with guns annually [in the U.S.]..."²

This means that, as of 2009, *each year* Americans are killing a number of Americans that is *three times* the *total* number of Americans killed in the entire six years of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan! Americans far outperform Al Qaeda's best fighters when it comes to killing Americans.

It is often said, rightly, that the National Rifle Association (NRA) is a notorious promoter of the unlimited sale of guns, including semi-automatic weapons. But we must remember that the NRA is powerful because of the vast number of Americans who support it and the policies it advocates. Perhaps a case can be made that the strictly controlled use of handguns, rifles and shotguns, excluding all automatic and semi-automatic weapons, is legitimate. But what we have now, in 2009, is the kind of idiocy that is only possible when America's good ol' boys have far too much power.

Our Stupidity About the Wars We Choose To Fight

Surely we should have learned something from the Vietnam war, namely, that it is a bad idea to enter wars unless we fully understand the culture of the foreign nation we claim to be defending, and the culture of those we are fighting.

And yet George W. Bush, the imbecile whom the Supreme Court put into the Presidency in 2001, under the control of his Machiavellian puppet-master, Dick Cheney, dragged us into two

1. Cheap dashboard-mounted accelerometers could be sold that had a light that was green when acceleration was less than a pre-set maximum, and red when acceleration was greater.

1. Valdes-Dapena, Peter, "Take it slow and save big on gas", May 9, 2006, CNNMoney.com

2. Herbert, Bob, column, "A Culture Soaked in Blood", *The New York Times*, Apr. 25, 2009

wars that, after nine years, we are still fighting, with no end in sight for at least one of them (Afghanistan), and no realistic prospect that, even if we “won” both wars, our enemies would not be back in power within a few years. A significant part of the population in 2010 still believes we should keep on fighting.

Our Stupidity About Dealing With the Budget Crisis

The Bush tax cuts from 2001 to 2010 cost the U.S. about \$2.5 trillion. If American voters rose up and demanded the reinstatement of those cuts, a major step forward toward solving the budget crisis would be achieved without any additional cuts.

Certainly an intelligent electorate would demand of its politicians that the no. 1 priority in dealing with budgetary problems must be *fairness*, that is, whatever sacrifices must be made, these must be shared proportionately by all levels of wealth in the country. That in itself, if actually practiced, would go a long way toward solving the budget crisis. But the electorate is incapable of grasping even this simple idea.

The Stupidity of the Tactics of the Occupy Wall Street Movement

The demonstrations were long-overdue, and you would think that the demonstrators would have been bright enough to recognize that goals such as “narrow the gap between rich and poor” and “increase regulation of the financial industry” and “punish the Wall Street CEOs” and “make Congress more responsible to the people” and “get the money out of politics” could never be accomplished in a time when Congress had been bought and paid for by Wall Street. You would think that, instead, the demonstrators would have recognized that the best they could do would be to select a single, clear issue that could be implemented because all the machinery was already in place, and then send a united message to Congress, “Do this or we vote you out of office.” Two such issues were: roll back the Bush tax cuts, and re-instate the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which enforced the separation between investment banking that issued securities and commercial banks that accepted deposits. (Glass-Steagall was repealed by the Gramm–Leach–Bliley Act in 1999 as part of the utterly mad deregulation of the financial industry that was a major cause of the Great Recession.)

The Movement would, of course, have to make clear to its members and supporters that the issue would not be the only action it would demand of Congress, but that when the action had been put into law, then the Movement would choose another one — a simple, clear, strategy that would have had a chance of accomplishing something. But the protesters preferred to camp out with each other and issue slogans about the evils of bankers and capitalism.

“ ‘Gandhi said that whenever you do any protests, your demands should be very clear, and it should be very clear who is the authority who can fulfill the demand, so your protests should be directed against that authority.’ ”¹

The Stupidity of the American Consumer

Whenever I feel a flicker of hope about the prospects of the American people, I remind myself

1. Arvind Kejriwal, top deputy of Anna Hazare, leader of the India Against Corruption movement, quoted in Friedman, Thomas L., “Two Peas in a Pod”, *The New York Times*, Nov. 9, 2011, p. A27

that this is a people who might or might not buy a car for \$20,000, but who will be far more inclined to buy the car if they are told it costs \$23,000 but that, because they bought it now, they will receive a \$3,000 rebate. There is no hope, none, for a people that stupid.

The Stupidity of Californians' Refusal to Prepare for the Next Major Earthquake

You would think that the one thing that Californians are doing right is preparing for the next major earthquake (“the Big One”), the probability of which is 63%. And yet, nothing could be farther from the truth. To take one example: my neighborhood in Berkeley lies less than three miles from the Hayward Fault, which has a 30% probability of being the site of the Big One. Yet even after the terrible Japanese earthquake in March, 2011, neighbors made clear that they regarded it as an act of rudeness if one neighbor urged them to get automatic gas shut-off valves installed in their houses. These valves turn off the gas when the ground shakes, thus helping to reduce the major source of damage from earthquakes in residential neighborhoods, namely, fire. At the time of this writing (late March, 2011), after years of my reminding and pleading and cajoling, only three neighbors have had these valves installed. Most neighbors still don’t know, or remember, what the purpose of the valves is. One neighbor told me that he couldn’t afford the \$450 installation fee. I asked him how much he estimates his out-of-pocket costs will be if his house burns. He said he would have to give the matter some thought.

I asked the contractor who did the earthquake retrofit on my basement (according to plans provided by a civil engineer specializing in seismic construction), what percentage of residents in the Berkeley Hills, where the wealthiest and presumably most intelligent residents live, have had earthquake retrofitting done. He said about 30-40% — and these residents are within a mile of the Fault.

Meanwhile, the University of California continues to construct buildings and sports facilities right on top of the Fault.

I know of only one neighborhood association (Halcyon, in South Berkeley) that has an ongoing earthquake preparedness program in effect. In my neighborhood, neighbors regard it as mildly amusing if you tell them that you have a 55-gallon drum of drinking water stored in your garage.

Several neighbors have told me that, if I want to get people to install the shutoff valves, I will have to start giving teas, with refreshments, and gradually ease them into a recognition of the importance of the valves.

One neighbor, when I asked him what his plan was regarding the Big One, said: “My plan is to die before it happens.”

The terrible earthquake in Japan has made no impression on my neighbors, and I strongly suspect the same is true for residents throughout Northern California. You can bet that when homes are destroyed and lives are lost, the hue and cry will go up: “Why didn’t the government *do something*? Why didn’t it *force us* to be prepared?”

The Stupidity of Californians Regarding Water

Fresno is a city in the middle of the nation’s most productive agricultural region, the Central Valley of California, often called “America’s fruit basket.” And yet, even after decades of water shortages that have afflicted the entire state, and now, in 2014, after three years of the worst drought in 500 years:

“Fresnans have long resisted water-saving measures, clinging tenaciously to a flat rate, all-you-can-use system. Nudged by state and federal officials, Fresno began outfitting new homes with water meters in the early 1990s, but voters passed a ballot initiative prohibiting the city from actually reading them. It took two decades for all area homes to acquire meters and for the city to start monitoring the units. To its credit, Fresno has a watering schedule, limiting when residents can water their lawns. But enforcement, to put it charitably, has been lax. — Roberts, Blain, and Kytte, Ethan J., “The Dust Bowl Returns”, *The New York Times*, Feb. 10, 2014, p. A19.

The East Bay Municipal Utilities District (EBMUD), a few miles from San Francisco, considers 300 gal. a day to be normal consumption per residence. And yet a few simple calculations show that the amount could easily be halved without causing undue discomfort for users. First, water-flow in a typical shower is about 2 gal./min. So a 10-minute shower consumes about 20 gal. Assume one more gal. per day for cooking and washing dishes. Assume five gal. per toilet flush, and four flushes per day. That gives a total of 41 gal. per day. But it is always possible to take “Navy showers”, “which allow for significant conservation of water and energy by turning off water during the ‘middle’ portion. An initial thirty seconds or so are used to get wet, followed by soap and lather without running water, which is then rinsed off in a minute or less. The total time for the water being on is typically under two minutes.”¹ Even further water savings can be achieved by merely washing while standing in front of the bathroom sink.

In addition, it is always acceptable for a person who does not have to share a bathroom with others, not to flush after each urination.

And so the total daily water usage per person can easily be under 50 gal. per day — a greater than 50% reduction in residential water usage.²

To put the matter even more forcefully: there are about 35 million residents in California (as of 2015). If each resident reduced his or her water consumption by just 10 gallons a day (very easy to do, given the above paragraphs) that would be a daily savings of some 350 million gallons of water statewide! In other words, it would be like 350 million gallons of rain falling on the state *each day*.

In July, 2014, Gov. Jerry Brown called for voluntary water conservation. Water usage increased. There was talk of fines for people who are caught watering their lawns. But this shows again the fundamental dimness of state water authorities. A much better way to reduce water consumption is

(1) Determine what percentage reduction in monthly water consumption is necessary.

(2) Decree no increase in price for residences that are already using less than 100 gallons a day. Have a corresponding decree for farmers. For everyone else, place a financial penalty on consumption beyond these minimums. Use the penalty money to expand or install waste-water-recycling and desalination systems.

Thus residents are allowed to decide how they want to go about conserving water. Some may choose taking fewer showers so that they can water their lawns. Etc.

1. Wikipedia, “Navy shower”, Feb. 9, 2014

2. It should be pointed out, however, that some 70% of water usage in California is agricultural.

Public-Speaking Gaffes of Supposedly-Educated Americans

The general ignorance of Americans is particularly apparent in some of the gaffes made by speakers on TV, radio, and in political bodies, including the Congress. Again and again we hear pompous ignoramus say “ek-settera” for “etc.,” which is properly pronounced “et-settera”. I am sure that not one of these idiots even knows the etymology of the term (or what “etymology” means).

And then we have the phenomenon of the misuse of “phenomena”, e.g., “We have to watch out for a phenomena that occurs...” God help us. There is one phenomenon, two or more phenomena.

And the pathetic attempt to conceal fear of having said something controversial (or wrong) by preceding it or following it with “if you will”. “As long as corporations continue to use tax loopholes, there will be a continued loss of tax revenues, if you will.”

And finally, the blatant illiteracy of the average American that is revealed by getting nominative and accusative cases all wrong. “Between you and I...”, “He asked she and I if we planned to...”

Too depressing to go on.

What Made America Great in the Past?

A reader at this point might well ask how such a nation became a world power, in particular a world economic power. There are three answers. First, Americans are born consumers, eager and willing to buy whatever products the corporations place before them, regardless whether the products serve any important purpose or not. (About two-thirds of the GDP is due to consumer spending.) The trait that accounts for Americans’ hopeless inability to make intelligent choices as voters, is precisely the trait that makes them such avid consumers, namely, their unquestioning belief in what advertisers tell them.

Second, Americans work hard (when jobs are available).

Third, America is still probably the best country in the world for ambitious, intelligent, creative people (who, unfortunately, make up only a tiny minority of the population). It is still probably the best country in the world in which to start a business. But, unfortunately, as we are learning every day (winter 2008-9), that is not enough to keep the country strong.